Appl. No.: 10/734,925

Amdt. dated March 31, 2009

Reply to Office Action of November 5, 2009

Page 8 of 10

REMARKS

This Amendment is filed in response to the Office Action dated November 5, 2008. Applicant first notes with appreciation the courtesies extended by the Examiner to Applicant's counsel in a recent telephone interview.

Turning to the Office Action, Applicant has amended Claims 1 and 21 and cancelled Claims 23-25. Following these amendments, the application includes Claims 1, 3, and 21. Applicant respectfully submits that the pending claims are patentable and respectfully requests reconsideration in light of the following remarks.

T. The Claims Are in Proper Form

On pages 2-4, the Office Action raises several objections and rejections regarding the form and/or text of the claims. Applicant has amended the claims to address these rejections. Applicant respectfully submits that in light of these amendments, the claims are now in proper form.

II. The Claims Are Patentable

The Office Action rejects independent Claim 1 as anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,063,929 to Bartelt (the "Bartelt patent") and as either anticipated or obvious in light of U.S. Patent No. 4,390,023 to Rise (the "Rise patent"). Applicant respectfully submits that amended independent Claim 1 is patentable over the cited references.

Specifically, amended independent Claim 1 recites at least one switching control device that during a treatment causes "the intermittent formation of probes as active probes or return probes causing the establishment of electrical currents passing through different paths through the subject with an electrical current being established between a connection probe and more than one other connection probe simultaneously during a treatment." This aspect of the claimed invention is nowhere taught or suggested by either Bartelt or Rise either individually or in combination.

Appl. No.: 10/734,925

Amdt. dated March 31, 2009

Reply to Office Action of November 5, 2009

Page 9 of 10

The Bartelt patent does not teach or suggest at least this aspect of the claimed invention. In fact, Bartelt actually teaches away from the claimed invention. Specifically, the Bartelt patent discloses placement of probes on a subject that are connected via a switch to a power supply. But, the Bartelt patent states at col. 8, lines 64-67 specifically that when one channel (switch) is in operation that it is important that the other channel does not act as a return path. As such, in the Bartelt patent, there is no way for establishment of electrical currents passing through different paths through the subject with an electrical current being established between a connection probe and more than one other connection probe simultaneously during a treatment, as is recited in amended independent Claim 1.

The Rise patent also does not teach or suggest the claimed invention. The Rise patent, like the Bartelt patent merely discloses placement of various probes on a subject and connecting different probes to the power supply at different times. At best, the Rise patent only discloses intermittently activating each probe to treat a portion of the subject where the probe is located at a given time. It nowhere teaches or suggests establishment of an electrical current between a connection probe and more than one other connection probe simultaneously during a treatment, as is recited in amended independent Claim 1.

Conclusion

In view of the amended claims and the remarks presented above, it is respectfully submitted that all of the present claims of the application are in condition for immediate allowance. It is therefore respectfully requested that a Notice of Allowance be issued. The Examiner is encouraged to contact Applicant's undersigned attorney to resolve any remaining issues in order to expedite examination of the present application.

It is not believed that extensions of time or fees for net addition of claims are required, beyond those that may otherwise be provided for in documents accompanying this paper. However, in the event that additional extensions of time are necessary to allow consideration of this paper, such extensions are hereby petitioned under 37 CFR § 1.136(a), and any fee required

CHAR2\1168387v1

Appl. No.: 10/734,925 Amdt. dated March 31, 2009 Reply to Office Action of November 5, 2009 Page 10 of 10

therefore (including fees for net addition of claims) is hereby authorized to be charged to Deposit Account No. 13-4365.

Respectfully submitted,

W. Kevin Ransom Registration No. 45,031

Customer No. 24239 Moore & Van Allen PLLC Post Office Box 13706 430 Davis Drive, Suite 500 Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709

Phone: (704) 331-3549 Fax: (704) 339-5918

ELECTRONICALLY FILED USING THE EFS-WEB ELECTRONIC FILING SYSTEM OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE ON March 31, 2009.